



## **DMS and MSA measurements in the Antarctic boundary layer: impact of BrO on MSA production**

K. A. Read, A. C. Lewis, S. Bauguitte, A. M. Rankin, R. A. Salmon, E. W. Wolff, A. Saiz-Lopez, W. J. Bloss, D. E. Heard, J. D. Lee, et al.

### **► To cite this version:**

K. A. Read, A. C. Lewis, S. Bauguitte, A. M. Rankin, R. A. Salmon, et al.. DMS and MSA measurements in the Antarctic boundary layer: impact of BrO on MSA production. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics Discussions*, 2008, 8 (1), pp.2657-2694. hal-00303965

**HAL Id: hal-00303965**

**<https://hal.science/hal-00303965>**

Submitted on 8 Feb 2008

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# DMS and MSA measurements in the Antarctic boundary layer: impact of BrO on MSA production

K. A. Read<sup>1</sup>, A. C. Lewis<sup>1</sup>, S. Bauguitte<sup>2</sup>, A. M. Rankin<sup>2</sup>, R. A. Salmon<sup>2</sup>,  
E. W. Wolff<sup>2</sup>, A. Saiz-Lopez<sup>3</sup>, W. J. Bloss<sup>4</sup>, D. E. Heard<sup>5</sup>, J. D. Lee<sup>1</sup>, and  
J. M. C. Plane<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of York, Heslington, York, YO19 4RR, UK

<sup>2</sup>British Antarctic Survey, High Cross, Madingley Road, CB3 0ET, Cambridge, UK

<sup>3</sup>Earth and Space Science Division, Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91109, USA

<sup>4</sup>School of Geography, Earth & Environmental Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, B15 2TT, UK

<sup>5</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, UK

Received: 10 December 2007 – Accepted: 17 December 2007 – Published: 8 February 2008

Correspondence to: K. A. Read (km519@york.ac.uk)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

## DMS and MSA in the Antarctic BL

K. A. Read et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



## Abstract

In situ measurements of dimethyl sulphide (DMS) and methane sulphonic acid (MSA) were made at Halley Station, Antarctica (75°35'S, 26°19'W) during February 2004–February 2005 as part of the CHABLIS (Chemistry of the Antarctic boundary layer and the interface with snow) project. DMS was present in the atmosphere at Halley all year (average  $38.1 \pm 43$  pptV) with a maximum monthly average value of  $113.6 \pm 52$  pptV in February 2004 coinciding temporally with a minimum in sea extent. Whilst seasonal variability and interannual variability can be attributed to a number of factors, short term variability appeared strongly dependent on air mass origin and trajectory pressure height. The MSA and derived non-sea salt sulphate ( $\text{nss-SO}_4^{2-}$ ) measurements showed no correlation with those of DMS (regression  $R^2=0.039$ , and  $R^2=0.001$ , respectively) in-line with the complexity of DMS fluxes, conflicting oxidation routes, transport of air masses and variable spatial coverage of both sea-ice and phytoplankton. MSA was generally low throughout the year, with an annual average of  $42 \text{ ng m}^{-3}$  ( $9.8 \pm 13.2$  pptV), however MSA:  $\text{nss-SO}_4^{2-}$  ratios were high implying a dominance of the addition oxidation route for DMS. Including BrO measurements into MSA production calculations demonstrated the significance of BrO on DMS oxidation within this region of the atmosphere in austral summer. Assuming an 80% yield of DMSO from the reaction of DMS+BrO, an atmospheric concentration of BrO equal to 3 pptV increased the calculated MSA production from DMS by a factor of 9 above that obtained when considering only reaction with the hydroxyl radical.

## 1 Introduction

The presence of sulphur in the global troposphere can be attributed to a combination of sources including sulphate from sea salt, long-range transport of anthropogenic  $\text{SO}_2$ , oceanic sources from dimethylsulphoniopropionate (DMSP) present in phytoplankton, in addition to small injections from volcanic emissions, land biosphere and biomass

ACPD

8, 2657–2694, 2008

## DMS and MSA in the Antarctic BL

K. A. Read et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



burning. As SO<sub>2</sub> is rapidly oxidised and either rained out or deposited to particles its impact on the sulphur budget is largely regional rather than global; therefore dimethyl sulphide (DMS), mainly dominated by the smaller biogenic inputs (Liss et al., 1997), is the major source of oxidised sulphur compounds. Once in the troposphere the non-sea salt sulphate particles (nss-SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>) and methane sulphonic acid (MSA), resulting from the oxidation of DMS, are the main sources of cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) which is believed to alter the radiation budget of the earth affecting the surface temperature and climate (Charlson et al., 1987).

As well as their importance for CCN formation, a particular relevance of MSA and nss-SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> in Antarctica comes from their use as environmental proxies in ice cores. MSA in near-coastal ice cores has been proposed as an indicator of past sea ice conditions (Curran et al., 2003), although it has to be used with care (Abram et al., 2007). The interpretation relies on the ice core concentration (hence the airborne concentration) being determined mainly by production, and to a lesser extent by transport. If the chemical transformation of DMS to MSA was affected by changing conditions, this would further modify the interpretation of this proxy, so this provides another motivation for understanding the processes in this oxidation.

The longest record of specifically DMS measurements in the Southern Hemisphere (S.H.) is from Cape Grim, Tasmania and dates back to 1976 (Ayers et al., 1995a, 1997). Although a great deal of information has been gained from these measurements the complexity of the oxidation processes is such that the fate of DMS is some way from being fully understood. In the Antarctic there have been various measurement campaigns for DMS on ships in the Weddell sea (Davison et al., 1995; Staubes and Georgii, 1993) and comprehensive sulphur studies such as ISCAT 1998/2000 (Investigation of Sulfur chemistry in the Antarctic Troposphere) and SCATE (Sulphur Chemistry in the Antarctic Troposphere Experiment) (Mauldin-III et al., 2001; Berresheim et al., 1998a) have taken place at Amundsen-Scott (South Pole, 90°0'0"S, 139°16'0"W) (Davis et al., 2004), Palmer Station (64°46'S, 64°03'W) (Berresheim et al., 1998b), and also at Dumont d'Urville (66°40'S, 140°1'E) (Jourdain and Legrand, 2002; Legrand et al., 2001)

## DMS and MSA in the Antarctic BL

K. A. Read et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



but have focussed mainly on austral summer. Main outcomes of these large campaigns show that those coastal stations such as Dumont d'Urville (DDU) and Palmer Station (Antarctic Peninsula) typically see highly variable DMS concentrations which are influenced strongly by meteorological conditions and specifically by intensive low pressure storms which provide a means for strong vertical exchange between ocean and atmosphere for this species (Berresheim et al., 1998b). At the South Pole station DMS was typically low or below the instrumental detection limit, with its oxidation products DMSO and MSA also low. Although Halley appears to be in a coastal position it is still 15 km away from the Weddell Sea which for the most part is not considered open ocean; due to the location of Halley DMS concentrations could be expected to display features of both types of sites.

Sulphur containing compounds in aerosol, such as sulphate and methane sulphonate (MSA) have been measured year-round since 1983 at Neumayer (Minikin et al., 1998), at Mawson since 1987 (Savoie et al., 1993), and began at DDU in 1991 as part of the CAASC (Coastal Antarctic Aerosol and Snowfall Chemistry) project (Wolff et al., 1998). These studies find that although MSA concentrations are low during sea ice periods, relatively high values have been seen throughout the year indicating potential origins from either DMS oxidation or as by-products from long-range transport of DMS (Ayers et al., 1997; Minikin et al., 1998). There is still however very little literature on long-term measurements of atmospheric DMS for the Antarctic region.

In recent years the reaction of  $\text{DMS} + \text{BrO}$  has been explored and the rate of this reaction found to be a factor of  $10^3$  faster than that of  $\text{DMS} + \text{OH}$ , having significant impact on the rate of production of DMSO and hence also of MSA (Toumi, 1994; Ingham et al., 2007). Measurements of BrO at ppt levels alongside measurements of OH and DMS made during NAMBLEX on the West Coast of Ireland, led Saiz-Lopez et al. to calculate the relative oxidation rates of DMS using these laboratory study data and found that, at the levels of BrO and OH present there, the oxidation of DMS by BrO was an order of magnitude faster than that of DMS by OH (Saiz-Lopez et al., 2004).

## DMS and MSA in the Antarctic BL

K. A. Read et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[I◀](#)[▶I](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Due to the seasonal inaccessibility of Antarctica long-term trends of BrO in this region have mainly been studied using integrated measurements obtained from satellites in space (Hegels et al., 1998). In situ measurements of BrO in the Antarctic are limited and have been generally of a short-term nature although significant in their findings. Kreher et al. (1997) used a zenith sky DOAS instrument to identify sudden large BrO column enhancement during spring 1995 and during four successive austral springs (1999–2002) at Niemeyer, Friess et al. (2004, 2005) used a similar instrument to find that BrO was present in almost all air masses which had previously been in contact with sea-ice surfaces. Recent improvements in measurement techniques such as atomic resonance fluorescence and differential absorption spectroscopy (DOAS) have since led to the possibility of more intense long-term studies in this region and during CHABLIS in situ measurements of tropospheric BrO were made for the first time in the Antarctic boundary layer using a LP-DOAS instrument (Saiz-Lopez et al., 2007). Most previous work on DMS and its oxidation products in Antarctica has focussed on the reactions with the OH radical (Berresheim et al., 1998b; Jourdain and Legrand, 2001; Legrand et al., 2001) but this work aims to include the impact of varying BrO concentrations on this species' oxidation and particularly on the production of MSA.

## 2 Site

Halley is situated at 75°35'S, 26°19'W on the Brunt Ice shelf in the southeast Weddell Sea, Coastal Antarctica. Measurements were made at the newly commissioned Clean Air Sector Laboratory (CASLab) positioned 1 km south east of the main station in the Clean Air Sector. Prevailing winds to Halley are from the east and so air often approaches the measurement site from across the ice shelf and eventually the inland plateau. This means that the CASLab is rarely influenced by the station (north of site) and any generators. Aircraft avoided the Clean Air Sector according to protocol and routine access to the CASLab is by foot or by ski. Except for a small amount produced by the base there are no local sources of anthropogenic pollution and therefore

## DMS and MSA in the Antarctic BL

K. A. Read et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



measurements are dominated by long-range transport processes and oceanic emissions (Jones et al., 2007<sup>1</sup>).

### 3 Experimental

DMS was measured every hour using a gas chromatograph with flame ionisation detection (see Read et al., 2007). The detection limit for this species was 5 pptV and the uncertainty on the measurement <5 pptV. DMS was calibrated on the basis determined in the laboratory that its response is 0.65 times that of propane. Propane was calibrated using a commercial 28 hydrocarbon component in nitrogen calibration cylinder (NPL, UK) at the ppbv level, in addition to a diluted pptV NMHC standard. The relationship between DMS and propane was verified in laboratory experiments both before and after the CHABLIS campaign. Main precision errors in the measurement of DMS and propane arise from peak integration (Read et al., 2007).

Size-segregated aerosol samples were collected on a 7-stage Sierra Instruments high-volume cascade impactor mounted on the roof of the CASlab. Filters were usually changed at fortnightly intervals, and were stored frozen until they could be transported to the UK for analysis. Major anions (chloride, fluoride, MSA, sulphate and nitrate) and cations (sodium, calcium, magnesium and potassium) were analysed using Dionex ion chromatographs in a clean room at the British Antarctic Survey laboratories in Cambridge. Rankin and Wolff (2003) describe the cascade impactor set-up and the analysis techniques in more detail.

The Differential Optical Absorption Spectroscopy (DOAS) instrument was located in the Clean Air Sector Laboratory (CASLAB). An effective light path of 8 km at a height of 5 m above the snowpack was set up between the CASLAB and a retro-reflector array positioned 4 km to the east. Further information on the instrumental design and

<sup>1</sup>Jones, A. E., Wolff, E. W., Salmon, R. A., et al.: Chemistry of the Antarctic Boundary Layer and the Interface with Snow: An overview of the CHABLIS campaign, in preparation, 2007.

## DMS and MSA in the Antarctic BL

K. A. Read et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



spectral de-convolution procedures can be found in Plane and Saiz-Lopez (2006).

OH and HO<sub>2</sub> were measured from a 5 m high inlet on the roof of a containerised laboratory adjacent north of the CASLab. The technique of on-resonance laser-induced fluorescence was used to detect OH, with HO<sub>2</sub> measured following chemical conversion through addition of NO. Calibrations were carried out using photolysis of water vapour at 185 nm and yielded detection limits of  $4.8 \times 10^{-3}$  and 0.068 pptv for HO and HO<sub>2</sub>, respectively. Overall uncertainty for the measurements was calculated to be  $\pm 27\%$ . Bloss et al. (2007) describe the experimental set-up in more detail.

## 4 Results and discussion

### 4.1 Dimethyl sulfide (DMS)

DMS measurements from February 2004–February 2005 are illustrated in Fig. 1 along with measurements of BrO from the Long Path DOAS.

Higher concentrations of DMS were expected and observed in the summer associated with both a decrease in sea ice cover and with highest biogenic emissions (Ayers et al., 1995b; Read et al., 2007). The summer (December–February) average seen in this study of  $46 \pm 50$  pptV ( $< 5$ –286 pptV) was lower than previously quoted summer values of 94 pptV (6–595 pptV) from measurements made by Berresheim et al. (1998b) at Palmer Station during 1994 and of  $290 \pm 305$  pptV (34–2923 pptV) from Legrand et al. (2001) at DDU in 1999. At Halley values for DMS stayed high well into March with the maximum in measurements (418.1 pptV) occurring early in this month. Halley is situated further “inland” than most other Antarctic sites; for example, 4° (500 km) further south than the site at Neumayer (Minikin et al., 1998); and so the effect of the ice retreat occurs later and the later peak levels in DMS at Halley appear to reflect this. A temporally comparable study involving a cruise in the Drake passage and around Antarctica in March–April 1986 reported an average value of 106 pptV ( $4.4 \text{ nmol m}^{-3}$ ) for DMS (Berresheim, 1987) in-line with the average of  $73.7 \pm 63.7$  pptV observed during those

## DMS and MSA in the Antarctic BL

K. A. Read et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion





months in this study. The results from Berresheim et al. (1987), also showed high scatter similar to this study with data varying between 16.8 pptV ( $0.7 \text{ nmol m}^{-3}$ ) and 235.2 pptV ( $9.8 \text{ nmol m}^{-3}$ ), which they attribute to changes in wind speed and wind direction.

5 Although sea ice cover is vast around the continent for most of the year, even in winter, polynyas (areas of open water) are kept open by the prevailing easterly wind to Halley providing a local region for sea-air transfer of such species and a source of water vapour throughout the year (Wolff et al., 1998). The DMS levels measured at Halley throughout winter (June–August,  $28.7 \pm 20.1$  pptV) and the rest of the year  
10 are thought to be due to a combination of small emissions from these polynyas and from long-range transport from more northern temperate latitudes (DMS has a lifetime of  $\sim 10$  days based on a 24 h  $[\text{OH}]$  of  $1 \times 10^5 \text{ molecules cm}^{-3}$  at 265 K). This is not unusual for the Antarctic as the coastal site at DDU has reported winter values of  $93.6 \pm 31.2$  pptV ( $3.9 \pm 1.3 \text{ ng m}^{-3}$ ) (Jourdain and Legrand, 2001) even higher than those  
15 observed in this study.

## 4.2 Ions

The seasonal variations of the ions are shown in Fig. 2 and mean concentrations for the ions from this experiment are detailed within Table 1 which also shows averages for the same ions in 2001 (Rankin and Wolff, 2003).

20 Sodium ( $\text{Na}^+$ , a measure of sea salt) concentrations measured during CHABLIS were much more in-line with values reported for Halley between the years 1991–1993 by Wagenbach et al. (1998) ( $62 \text{ ng m}^{-3} \text{ Na}^+$ ,  $200 \text{ ng m}^{-3}$  total salt) rather than with the 2001 study which saw a mean value of over a factor of two higher. As stated within the 2001 study, aerosol concentrations are highly variable which leads to large  
25 interannual variability in the measurements and it was thought that the 2001 study was characterised by an isolated air mass depositing an unusually high loading of these species onto only one set of filters (Rankin and Wolff, 2003).

MSA was generally low throughout the year, showing an average of  $42 \text{ ng m}^{-3}$

## DMS and MSA in the Antarctic BL

K. A. Read et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



( $9.8 \pm 13.2$  pptV), significantly lower than the  $84 \text{ ng m}^{-3}$  measured by Rankin and Wolff in 2001 but similar to a study by Minikin in 1998 in which three Antarctic sites (Neu-mayer, Dumont d'Urville, Halley) were compared and Halley (data from 1991–1992) was shown to display the lowest values for this species of  $3.5 \text{ pptV}$  ( $15 \text{ ng m}^{-3}$ ) (Minikin et al., 1998).

$\text{Cl}^-$  was present in similar average concentrations during both the 2001 and 2004/2005 experiments but also showed some interannual variability between January–February 2004 and January–February 2005 averaging  $161 \text{ ng m}^{-3}$  and  $311 \text{ ng m}^{-3}$ , respectively. It showed a high variability throughout the year peaking in spring and then again in early summer with total fraction values rarely dropping below  $100 \text{ ng m}^{-3}$  (Fig. 2). Along with  $\text{Na}^+$ , the  $\text{Cl}^-$  ion was most dominant on the larger size fractions whereas particles such as MSA and  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ , formed from gas phase species such as DMS were observed within the submicron fraction (Fig. 3). This was typical behaviour for these latter species although in contrast to previous studies the majority of the MSA and  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$  was found in the  $<0.25 \mu\text{m}$  mode rather than in the  $0.3 \mu\text{m}$  (Jourdain and Legrand, 2002; Rankin and Wolff, 2003).

Sulphate ( $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ ) was also present from sea salt. Equation (1) below can be used to provide a derived quantity non-sea-salt sulphate as an indicator of biogenic activity.

$$[\text{nss} - \text{SO}_4^{2-}] = [\text{SO}_4^{2-}]_{\text{total}} - k[\text{Na}^+] \quad (1)$$

Here  $k$  is equal to 0.252, the weight ratio between sulphate and sodium in seawater (Wagenbach et al., 1998). There has been discussion as to whether a lower ratio should be used to account for the finding that sea ice surfaces, with a lower sulfate/Na ratio, act as a source of sea salt (Wagenbach et al., 1998); however, the difference does not affect the main results of this paper. The calculated  $\text{nss-SO}_4^{2-}$  is also plotted on Fig. 2.

## DMS and MSA in the Antarctic BL

K. A. Read et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



### 4.3 DMS, BrO, MSA and $\text{nss-SO}_4^{2-}$ seasonal variation

During the CHABLIS experiment MSA particulate and the derived  $\text{nss-SO}_4^{2-}$  concentrations peak in late January-early February and then drop off very sharply when the DMS concentrations peak in late February-early March (Figs. 1 and 2). This rapid decrease in concentrations at the end of austral summer was also observed in a study by Prospero et al. (1991) and implies perhaps that the process responsible for converting DMS into MSA is less efficient at this time. Regression analysis highlights no correlation between DMS and the two particulates ( $R^2=0.039$ , and  $R^2=0.001$ ) throughout the year. The background concentrations in DMS throughout winter were also not mirrored by the MSA concentrations, a finding similar to that of Jourdain et al. (2001), who attributed the winter DMS to either small emissions from open water present in sea ice located offshore and/or advection from further north in conjunction with a longer lifetime of DMS (Jourdain and Legrand, 2001). Davison et al. (1996) on a cruise between the UK and the Antarctic observed poor regression ( $R^2=0.3$ ) between DMS and MSA measurements even though in that study concentrations appeared to coincide. In this study the poor correlation is thought to be a consequence either that (a) the DMS observed at Halley is emitted from the Weddell Sea region but the MSA is a result of processing of DMS emitted from areas substantially further north or (b) that emissions vary little throughout the summer months (December–March). Levels of oxidants such as OH and BrO measured at the site show little variation between January,  $0.018\pm0.013$  pptV and  $1.9\pm3.0$  pptV respectively compared to February values of  $0.015\pm0.009$  pptV and  $2.7\pm2.2$  pptV respectively, however these are in situ measurements and may not be representative of the oxidant levels along the back trajectory of DMS concentrations. BrO measurements show a seasonal cycle which peaks in austral Spring coinciding with the onset of sunlight and the lowest DMS measurements. Refer to Saiz Lopez et al., for more discussion of the BrO measurements including their seasonal variation (Saiz-Lopez, 2007).

Both DMS and MSA show some interannual variability between the summer months.

## DMS and MSA in the Antarctic BL

K. A. Read et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



DMS concentrations collected from 1–8 February 2005 averaged  $31 \pm 10$  pptV, significantly lower than the average for the same period in 2004 of  $136 \pm 59$  pptV. Measurements were finished on the 8 February 2005 and so it remains unknown as to whether the levels would increase throughout the month and into March as in the previous year. MSA also shows some interannual variability between the two summers averaging  $19.4 \pm 15.6$  pptV ( $83.2 \text{ ng m}^{-3}$ ) in January–February 2004 and  $33.0 \pm 10.2$  pptV ( $141.3 \text{ ng m}^{-3}$ ) in January–February 2005. Interannual variability has been observed many times before with these types of measurements and in experiments which span two summers, for example Minikin et al. (1998) observed MSA concentrations of  $1.3 \pm 1.7$  pptV ( $5.5 \pm 7.2 \text{ ng m}^{-3}$ ) and  $5.1 \pm 6.3$  pptV ( $21.9 \pm 26.9 \text{ ng m}^{-3}$ ) for December 1991 and December 1992 respectively and  $3.0 \pm 2.1$  pptV ( $13.0 \pm 8.9 \text{ ng m}^{-3}$ ) and  $12.5 \pm 9.6$  pptV ( $53.6 \pm 41.3 \text{ ng m}^{-3}$ ) for the subsequent January 1992 and 1993.

## 5 Factors affecting DMS and MSA concentrations and their interannual variability

Although Halley is ideally situated on the ice shelf in close proximity to the Weddell Sea, a number of conditions exist which will affect the DMS concentrations measured at the CASLab. These will include physical, meteorological, and chemical factors. A consequence of the varying conditions is that it is difficult to compare measurements from different sites and/or from ships; and interannual variability also arises as a result of these factors.

Extensive ice cover during most of the year minimises gas exchange between sea-water and the overlying atmosphere. Variability in the extent of cover spatially, affects both local concentrations for these species and accounts for a large majority of the year to year interannual variability of DMS observed in this region of the atmosphere (Cosme et al., 2005). During February 2004–February 2005 the sea ice cover was at a minimum during March 2004 in-line with the highest measured DMS concentrations. In agreement with the Prospero et al. (1991) study the particulate MSA and derived

## DMS and MSA in the Antarctic BL

K. A. Read et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



nss-SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> measurements from CHABLIS are less strongly correlated with the sea ice cover showing sharply decreasing concentrations during this time of the year.

If the sea-ice conditions are favourable for DMS emissions, there still has to be a sufficient distribution and quantity of phytoplankton present in the sea water within the exposed areas. Studies have shown that phytoplankton blooms are often associated with sea ice retreat, shallow waters, with areas of strong upwelling and regions of high eddy kinetic energy; conditions prevalent in the Weddell Sea region (Comisco et al., 1993). Figure 4a shows chlorophyll data, (an indicator of phytoplankton blooms) from seawifs satellite data (<http://seawifs.gsfc.nasa.gov/>) for austral winter 2004 and 2005 which highlights variability in both the chlorophyll and the sea ice cover from year to year. It is impossible to identify whether these variations are the reason for the interannual variability in the DMS concentrations without additionally considering the air mass origins. Additional complications arise from the fact that different species of phytoplankton have different abilities to produce DMS. Following from this Fig. 4b shows that there were far fewer directly advected air masses from ocean sectors which had passed over highly-concentrated-chlorophyll regions during the second year. Southwest of the site is Precious Bay, an area which often has open water even in winter, and during winter peaks in DMS corresponded to these air masses or occurred during small low pressure storms in which there were higher temperatures experienced at the site. During the SCATE campaign low pressure storm systems were also shown to be a transport mechanism for DMS within the free troposphere influencing the concentrations of its oxidation products by downward mixing (Berresheim and Eisele, 1998). Unfortunately due to technical difficulties DMS data was not obtained during the major low pressure storm which occurred in May 2004 during this campaign (Jones et al., 2007).

Finally en route to the site there must also be the right chemical conditions to (a) allow DMS to be transported without oxidation in order to measure DMS and/or to (b) allow oxidation to DMSO and then to MSA for MSA to be measured on the filters. These conditions include the various oxidant concentrations and distribution and will impact on the lifetime and concentration of both DMS and therefore its oxidation products.

## DMS and MSA in the Antarctic BL

K. A. Read et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version


Interactive Discussion




## 5.1 Oxidation of DMS by OH

Much focus has in the past been on the reaction of DMS + OH as the primary oxidation route for this species (Berresheim et al., 1998b; Jourdain and Legrand, 2001; Legrand et al., 2001), particularly with respect to the production of DMSO. The DMSO can then be oxidised further to produce either MSA or nss-SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, and it is these oxidised products which can be found in ice cores and used as indicators of air origin.

The reaction of DMS+OH proceeds via two independent channels (Hynes et al., 1986).



$$k_1(265 \text{ K}) = 4.50 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \quad (\text{R1})$$



$$k_2(265 \text{ K}) = 2.21 \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \quad (\text{R2})$$

The addition channel leads to CH<sub>3</sub>S(OH)CH<sub>3</sub> or DMSO and subsequently to a greater relative production of MSA rather than nss-SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, as compared to the abstraction channel (Fig. 5). There do exist alternative routes for the production of MSA, either through the abstraction channel or via the reaction of DMSO+OH to give MSIA and then MSA, however for the purposes of this analysis we have assumed those routes to be a negligible source of MSA.

The MSA:nss-SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> ratio, R (Legrand and Pasteur, 1998) can provide much information as to the oxidation pathways of DMS, although care must be taken to interpret the various contributions to the nss-SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> concentrations from non-DMS sources such as sulphate from continental areas and downward transport from the stratosphere. Using <sup>210</sup>Pb levels Minikin et al. (1998) reported values for the FT non-DMS sulphate for

### DMS and MSA in the Antarctic BL

K. A. Read et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



coastal Antarctica of  $15 \text{ ng m}^{-3}$  for March to September and  $27 \text{ ng m}^{-3}$  for October to February which can be subtracted from the  $\text{nss-SO}_4^{2-}$  concentration before calculation of the ratio. This gives  $R_b$  which can subsequently be compared to literature and interpreted with respect to DMS oxidation. MSA:nss- $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$  ratios are shown in Fig. 6 along with  $R_b$ . During January–February 2004 and 2005 the average  $R_b$  of  $0.65 \pm 0.13$  was higher than the peak value for Halley of  $0.49 \pm 0.05$  reported for January 1992 and also substantially higher than the annual peak values of  $0.46 \pm 0.17$  (Neumeyer, January 1983–1995) and of  $0.28 \pm 0.05$  (Dumont d’Urville, March 1983–1995) (Legrand and Pasteur, 1998), implying a dominance in the addition pathway for these measurements of DMS at Halley. In addition it is commonly thought that the addition channel is faster at low temperatures ( $< 285 \text{ K}$ ) (Arsene et al., 1999) which could explain the higher ratios observed in this study.

If we want to investigate the MSA production from DMS then we must consider the addition route to DMSO. If we first assume that the concentration of DMSO in the atmosphere is controlled by (a) production via the DMS/OH pathway, (b) reaction with OH in the gas phase ( $k_3 = 1 \times 10^{-10} \text{ s}^{-1}$  Hynes and Wine, 1996), and (c) loss onto aerosols, we can calculate [DMSO] by knowing the yield ( $\beta_1$ ) of the DMS+OH reaction, together with  $k_4$ , the heterogeneous loss rate of DMSO.

For this analysis we are focussing on the summer (December–March) concentrations of DMS and MSA and the apparent interannual variability between 2004 and 2005. A study at Palmer Station quotes a yield ( $\beta_1$ ) of DMSO from the DMS+OH reaction of 0.8 and uses a  $k_4 = 2.4 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$  (Legrand et al., 2001) and we have also used this data for our analysis. DMSO is not thought to be directly emitted from the oceans and no consideration has so far been given to the impact of other oxidants such as Cl, and  $\text{NO}_3$  on the concentration of DMSO, although the reaction with these species is at least 2 orders of magnitude slower than with OH (Falbe-Hansen, 2000).

The following equation Eq. (2) can be used to obtain a time dependent level of DMSO using the measured DMS concentrations in this study. Although OH was measured for CHABLIS during December 2004–February 2005, for consistency between the two

## DMS and MSA in the Antarctic BL

K. A. Read et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



summers we instead used a 24 h average value of  $3 \times 10^5$  molecules  $\text{cm}^{-3}$  (0.012 pptV) for the entire analysis (Bloss et al., 2007). Calculations were initiated applying an initial level of DMSO of 3 pptv (average measured concentration Legrand et al., 2001) and actual DMS concentrations were inputted when they were available, usually every hour.

$$\frac{d[\text{DMSO}]}{dt} = \beta_1 k_2 [\text{DMS}][\text{OH}] - (k_3 [\text{OH}] + k_4) [\text{DMSO}], \quad (2)$$

Roughly one third of the DMSO (DMSOp) produced is thought to be rapidly oxidised into MSA aerosol via MSIA (Legrand et al., 2001), as in Eq. (3).

$$[\text{MSA}] = 0.33 k_4 [\text{DMSO}_p] \Delta t \quad (3)$$

Δt had to be assumed and so 4 h was used based on calculations from Jourdain and Legrand (2001). This assumption obviously leads to potential errors in the calculation of absolute MSA however the importance of this work is to demonstrate the impact of the additional oxidation routes not solely to reproduce the absolute concentrations of MSA.

The calculated MSA concentrations are plotted on Fig. 7 together with the measured cascade impactor sample concentrations of MSA.

Looking first at the 2004 data, there is reasonable agreement in mid-February but there is an underestimation in the calculated [MSA] during early and late February. The DMS and MSA show different trends through this period implying that the DMS measured at the site is not wholly responsible for the measured [MSA]. The DMS is likely to have originated from fresh emissions rather than having been transported, whilst the MSA may have been transported from further afield. This is in line with the air mass trajectories for this time which show much of the air has travelled over the Weddell Sea region (Fig. 4b). Note: the calculation of [DMSO] and [MSA] is based on the varying concentration of DMS measured at Halley, not its value at the point of emission.

## DMS and MSA in the Antarctic BL

K. A. Read et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion





During January–February 2005 DMS concentrations were much lower and there is substantial underestimation by the calculated [MSA] when compared to the measured. The air mass trajectories show more air has travelled over the continent in 2005 rather than from the northern sea regions (Fig. 4b) implying that the site received more aged  
5 air and so the DMS concentrations measured at the site may not be representative of those at the source. During January–February 2005 the sea ice coverage en route may also have been more substantial leading to smaller fresh emissions of DMS. Underestimation of the calculated MSA implies either that the OH and/or DMS concentrations we have used in our calculations are too low, that additional oxidation routes other than  
10 that of OH+DMS are responsible for the MSA production, or that the  $\Delta t$  we have used is too low.

## 5.2 Impact of BrO concentrations on the oxidation of DMS

Reaction rates for DMS with species other than OH are detailed in Table 2. The obvious competitor to OH is  $\text{NO}_3$  but during the whole of CHABLIS it was not seen to be above the instrumental detection limit of the LP-DOAS instrument (2 pptv). BrO however  
15 was measurable in concentrations up to 8 pptV in summer which, when considered in context with a rapid rate of DMS+BrO, represents a significant sink for DMS. IO measurements were also made and were present in similar concentrations as BrO however they undergo a slower rate of reaction with DMS. Cl atoms were not measured  
20 directly but inferred from non-methane hydrocarbons and found to be at a maximum of  $3.4 \times 10^4 \text{ atom cm}^{-3}$  during spring (Read et al., 2007). Cl is likely to be at least a factor of 5 lower during summer, and therefore the loss of DMS through reaction with Cl is likely to be less than 20% of that through reaction with BrO during summer.

Von Glasow (2002b) showed that including halogen chemistry and specifically BrO  
25 into atmospheric chemistry transport models, led to an increase of 63% DMSO globally. Barnes et al. (1991) found that BrO also adds to DMS which has a major impact on our OH dominated calculations for MSA and could account for the high MSA:nss- $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$  ratios (Fig. 6). It could also explain the underestimation in the calculated [MSA]

## DMS and MSA in the Antarctic BL

K. A. Read et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



versus measured [MSA] and, assuming variable levels, the lack of correlation between our atmospheric DMS and particulate MSA. A study by Gondwe et al. (2004) which compares modelled MSA:nss-SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> ratios with measured, underestimates the ratio for measurements made in the Southern Ocean and Drake Passage. Higher measured ratios compared to model predictions such as these which neglect to include BrO, may also imply an influence from this source.

We can include the reaction of BrO+DMS into our time dependent DMSO equation to give the following:

$$d[\text{DMSO}]/dt = (\beta_1 k_2 [\text{DMS}][\text{OH}] + \beta_2 k_5 [\text{DMS}][\text{BrO}]) - (k_3 [\text{OH}] + k_4) [\text{DMSO}], \quad (4)$$

We have used measured BrO concentrations for the two summer periods (Saiz-Lopez et al., 2007) whilst the OH concentration is as we treated before, set at  $3 \times 10^5$  molecules cm<sup>-3</sup> (Bloss et al., 2007) as this was the average of measurements throughout the 2005 summer months. Using an estimated yield ( $\beta_2$ ) of 0.8, the exact yield not yet having been determined (Toumi, 1994), the DMSO and resultant MSA concentration increase on average by a factor of 9 (Table 3).

Although measurements of BrO were used for this study, they are in situ levels and so may not be representative of the concentrations of this species at the time of DMS oxidation. Due to the nature of its sources and sinks the atmospheric BrO concentration is likely to be more variable than that of the OH and so the sensitivity of the analysis to changing BrO has also been calculated and is also detailed in Table 3.

With the inclusion of the DMS+BrO reaction, the calculated MSA during most of February–March 2004 are now in good agreement with the measured (Fig. 8). As expected the calculated MSA in early March now overestimates, in-line with the assumption that the DMS and MSA measurements are not directly related at this time and this period is influenced by fresh emissions. During January–February 2005 however, there is still some underestimation. This implies that the DMS concentrations

## DMS and MSA in the Antarctic BL

K. A. Read et al.

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Printer-friendly Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)


measured at the site are not representative of the emissions at source or that the time allowed for the build-up of the DMSO on the aerosol is too short. The measured MSA concentrations are relatively high (~40 pptv) however which is consistent with assumptions that the DMS has had time to oxidise en route and it is clear from this analysis that the DMS + BrO reaction is important in its oxidation.

For the Summer 2005 period the relative rate of oxidation of DMS by OH and BrO is calculated using the measurements of DMS, BrO and OH when all three were measured simultaneously and this is shown in Fig. 10. The rate of oxidation of DMS by BrO dominates the DMS oxidation by on average a factor of 7 throughout the summer.

A change in the yield of either the DMS+BrO reaction or the DMS + OH reaction changes the factor increase in the DMSO and therefore in the MSA concentrations and so knowledge of the yields of these reactions is crucial to this analysis. Table 4 details the factor increase in MSA assuming BrO and OH at constant levels of 3 pptV and 0.012 pptV respectively with changing yields.

Assuming that the MSA from the abstraction route is negligible then this analysis shows that the MSA concentration is far more sensitive to the yield of BrO+DMS rather than to the yield of OH+DMS.

## 6 Implications of these findings

Although low temperatures such as those in experienced in the Antarctic can lead to a favoured addition route for the oxidation of DMS, it is more likely that the increased MSA production observed at Halley is as a result of the presence of BrO. BrO strongly increases the importance of the addition branch and indeed the oxidation rate even at low atmospheric concentrations. There is therefore a likely underestimation of the total oxidation rates of DMS in studies which do not incorporate halogen chemistry.

This finding also impacts on flux calculations as DMS from the ocean is often calculated based on atmospheric DMS mixing ratios and the assumption that reaction with OH is the only chemical loss. If BrO is a significant sink for DMS, the resulting DMS

## DMS and MSA in the Antarctic BL

K. A. Read et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



fluxes must be higher to yield the same atmospheric DMS mixing ratios.

## 6.1 Ice core implications

Both MSA and  $\text{nss-SO}_4^{2-}$ , as well as their ratio, have been used in ice core studies to try to diagnose environmental conditions in the past. In particular, MSA has been proposed as an indicator of sea ice extent (Curran et al., 2003; Abram et al., 2007), while there have been hopes that the ratio, if largely controlled by temperature, might yield information about the latitude and temperature history of sulphur emissions recorded in ice cores. If the presence of BrO can also play a substantial role in the relative and absolute production of MSA, then this raises a potential new complication in interpreting the ice core records of these species. In particular, we know that BrO is strongly associated with the presence of sea ice, although possibly strongly concentrated in the very lowest layers of the atmosphere. If DMS remained in the volume of atmosphere strongly influenced by BrO for a substantial fraction of its lifetime, then this could provide a further mechanism for an influence of sea ice on MSA concentrations recorded in ice cores. Modelling studies (Cosme et al., 2005) that include a realistic assessment of the spatial and vertical distribution of BrO would however be needed to assess whether this was really a major influence.

## 7 Conclusions

A year-long (February 2004–February 2005) time-series of DMS measurements in the Antarctic troposphere has been presented along with filter measurements of its oxidation product MSA and also with derived  $\text{nss-SO}_4^{2-}$ . DMS shows a strong seasonal variation with an austral winter minimum and summer maximum which follows that of the sea-ice cover; but DMS was also observed to be present throughout the year due to its transport from biogenically active regions further north in association with an extended lifetime of 10.2 days under Antarctic conditions (assuming a 24 h average OH

## DMS and MSA in the Antarctic BL

K. A. Read et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



winter concentration of  $1 \times 10^5$  molecules  $\text{cm}^{-3}$ ). The shorter-term variability in the DMS was found to be strongly due to air mass origin and trajectory pressure height. The bi-weekly filter data appears to correlate a little with the DMS data but in reality the data show very low regression values due to the infrequency and differences in sampling rates of the filter data, in addition to the various complexities in the rate of oxidation of DMS to form these products.

Using the DMS concentrations measured at the site in conjunction with recent kinetic data MSA concentrations were calculated and found at times to differ from the filter measurements. This was postulated to be due to a number of factors including the strong possibility of different DMS values at source (which may be 3.4 days away ( $\text{OH} = 3 \times 10^5$  molecules  $\text{cm}^{-3}$  Bloss et al., 2007)), and the influence of locally produced DMS on the site measurements. Chemical influences were also discussed including the additional effect of BrO on the production of DMSO and hence of MSA. Although the yield of the BrO+DMS reaction is still undetermined the impact of up to 7 pptV of BrO on the calculated MSA atmospheric concentration was found to be highly significant, and will have a significant impact on model calculations for this region of the atmosphere.

*Acknowledgements.* The authors wish to thank NERC AFI for the CHABLIS funding under grant number NER/G/S/2001/00558 and to S. Arnold for the calculation of the back trajectories.

## DMS and MSA in the Antarctic BL

K. A. Read et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[I◀](#)[▶I](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

## References

- Abram, N. J., Mulvaney, R., Wolff, E. W., and Mudelsee, M.: Ice core records of sea ice variability in the Weddell Sea region of Antarctica, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 112, D15101, doi:10.1029/2006JD008139, 2007.
- 5 Arsene, C., Barnes, I., and Becker, K. H.: FT-IR product study of the photo-oxidation of dimethyl sulfide: Temperature and O<sub>2</sub> partial pressure dependence, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 1, 5463–5470, 1999.
- Atkinson, R., Baulch, D. L., Cox, R. A., Crowley, J. N., Hampson, R. F., Hynes, R. G., Jenkin, M. E., Rossi, M. J., and Troe, J.: Evaluated kinetic and photochemical data for atmospheric chemistry: Volume 1-gas phase reactions of Ox, HOx, NOx, and SOx species, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 4, 1461–1738, 2004,
- 10 <http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/4/1461/2004/>.
- Ayers, G. P., Bentley, J. P., Ivey, J. P., and Forgan, B. W.: Dimethylsulphide in marine air at Cape Grim, 41°S, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 102, 21 013–21 021, 1995a.
- 15 Ayers, G. P., Cainey, J. M., Gillett, R. W., and Ivey, J. P.: Atmospheric sulphur and cloud condensation nuclei in marine air in the Southern Hemisphere, *Philos. T. Roy. Soc. B*, 352, 203–211, 1997.
- Ayers, G. P., Gillett, R. W., Ivey, J. P., Schafer, B., and Gabric, A.: Short-term variability in marine atmospheric dimethyl sulphide concentration, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 22, 2513–2516,
- 20 1995b.
- Barnes, I., Bastian, V., Becker, K. H., and Overath, R. D.: Kinetic studies of the reactions of IO, BrO, and ClO with DMS, *Int. J. Chem. Kinet.*, 23, 579–591, 1991.
- Berresheim, H.: Biogenic sulfur emissions from the Subantarctic and Antarctic Oceans, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 92, 13 245–13 262, 1987.
- 25 Berresheim, H. and Eisele, F. L.: Sulfur Chemistry in the Antarctic Troposphere Experiment: An overview of project SCATE, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103, 1619–1627, 1998a.
- Berresheim, H., Huey, J. W., Thorn, R. P., Eisele, F. L., Tanner, D. J., and Jefferson, A.: Measurements of dimethyl sulfide, dimethyl sulfoxide, dimethyl sulfone and aerosol ions at Palmer Station, Antarctica, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103, 1629–1637, 1998b.
- 30 Bloss, W. J., Lee, J. D., Heard, D. E., Salmon, R. A., Bauguitte, S. J.-B., Roscoe, H. K., and Jones, A. E.: Observations of OH and HO<sub>2</sub> radicals in coastal Antarctica, *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, 7, 2893–2935, 2007,
- <http://www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/7/2893/2007/>.

## DMS and MSA in the Antarctic BL

K. A. Read et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



- Charlson, R. J., Lovelock, J. E., Andreae, M. O., and Warren, S. G.: Oceanic phytoplankton, atmospheric sulphur, cloud albedo and climate, *Nature*, 326, 655–661, 1987.
- Comisco, J. C., McClain, R. C., Sullivan, C. W., Ryan, J. P., and Leonard, C. L.: 1993. Coastal Zone Color Scanner pigment concentrations in the Southern Ocean and relationships to geophysical surface features, *J. Geophys. Res.* 98, 2419–2451, 1993.
- Cosme, E., Hourdin, F., Genthon, C., and Martinerie, P.: Origin of dimethyl sulfide, non-sea-salt sulfate, and methanesulfonic acid in eastern Antarctica. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 110, D03302, doi:10.1029/2004JD004881, 2005.
- Curran, M. A. J., van Ommen, T. D., Morgan, V. I., Phillips, K. L., and Palmer, A. S.: Ice core evidence for Antarctic sea ice decline since the 1950s, *Science*, 302, 1203–1206, 2003.
- Davis, D. D., Eisele, F., Chen, G., Crawford, J., Huey, G., Tanner, D., Slusher, D., Mauldin, L., Oncley, S., Lenschow, D., Semmer, S., Shetter, R., Lefer, B., Arimoto, R., Hogan, A., Grube, P., Lazzara, M., Bandy, A., Thornton, D., Berresheim, H., Bingemer, H., Hutterli, M., McConnell, J., Bales, R., Dibb, J., Buhr, M., Park, J., McMurry, P., Swanson, A., Meinardi, S., and Blake, D.: An overview of ISCAT 2000, *Atmos. Environ.*, 38, 5363–5373, 2004.
- Davison, B., Hewitt, C. N., O'Dowd, C. D., Lowe, J. A., Smith, M. H., Schwikowski, M., Baltensperger, U., and Harrison, R. M.: Dimethyl sulfide, methane sulfonic acid and physicochemical aerosol properties in Atlantic air from the United Kingdom to Halley Bay, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 101, 22 855–22 867, 1996.
- Davison, B., O'Dowd, C., Hewitt, C. N., Smith, M. H., Harrison, R. M., Peel, D. A., Wolf, E., Mulvaney, R., Schwikowski, M., and Baltensperger, U.: Dimethyl sulfide and its oxidation products in the atmosphere of the Atlantic and Southern Oceans, *Atmos. Environ.* 30, 1895–1906, 1995.
- Falbe Hansen, H., Sørensen, S., Jensen, N. R., Pedersen, T., and Hjorth, J.: Atmospheric gas-phase reactions of diethyl sulphoxide and dimethylsulphone with OH and NO<sub>3</sub> radicals Cl atoms and ozone, *Atmos. Environ.*, 34, 1543–1551, 2000.
- Friess, U., Hollwedel, J., König-Langlo, G., Wagner, T., and Platt, U.: Dynamics and chemistry of tropospheric bromine explosion events in the Antarctic coastal region, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109, D06305, doi:10.1029/2003JD004133, 2004.
- Friess, U., Kreher, K., Johnston, P. V., and Platt, U.: Ground-based DOAS measurements of stratospheric trace gases at two Antarctic stations during the 2002 ozone hole period, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 62(3), 765–777, 2005.

## DMS and MSA in the Antarctic BL

K. A. Read et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Gondwe, M., Krol, M., Klassen, W., Gieskes, W., and De Baar, H.: Comparison of modeled versus measured MSA:nss SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> ratios: A global analysis, *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 18, GB2006, doi:10.1029/2003GB002144, 2004.

Hegels, E., Crutzen, P. J., Klupfel, T., Perner, D., and Burrows, J. P.: Global distribution of atmospheric bromine-monoxide from GOME on earth observing satellite ERS-2, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 25, 3127–3130, 1998.

Hynes, A. J., Wine, P. H., and Semmes, D. H.: Kinetics and mechanism of OH reactions with organic sulfides. *J. Phys. Chem.*, 90, 4148–4156, 1986.

Hynes, A. J. and Wine, P. H.: The atmospheric chemistry of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) kinetics and mechanism of the OH + DMSO reaction, *J. Atmos. Chem.*, 24, 23–27, 1996.

Ingham, T., Bauer, D., Sander, R., Crutzen, P. J., and Crowley, J. N.: Kinetics and products of the reactions BrO + DMS and Br + DMS at 298 K, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 103, 7199–7209, 2007.

Jee, J. and Tao, F. M.: Reaction mechanism and kinetics for the oxidation of dimethyl sulfide by nitrate radical, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 420, 336–339, 2006

Jefferson, A., D.J.Tanner, F.L.Eisele, D.D.Davis, G.Chen, J.Crawford, J.W.Huey, A.L.Torres, and H.Berresheim. 1998. OH photochemistry and methane sulfonic acid formation in the coastal Antarctic boundary layer. *J. Geophys. Res.* 103:1647-1656.

Jourdain, B. and Legrand, M.: Seasonal variations of atmospheric dimethylsulfide, dimethylsulfoxide, sulfur dioxide, methanesulfonate, and non-sea-salt sulfate aerosols at Dumont d'Urville (coastal Antarctica) (December 1998 to July 1999). *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106, 14 391–14 408, 2001.

Jourdain, B. and Legrand, M.: Year-round records of bulk and size-segregated aerosol composition and HCl and HNO<sub>3</sub> levels in the Dumont d'Urville (coastal Antarctica) atmosphere: Implications for sea-salt aerosol fractionation in the winter and summer, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 107(D22), p. 4645, 2002.

Kreher, K., Johnston, P. V., and Wood, S. W.: Ground-based measurements of tropospheric and stratospheric BrO at Arrival Heights, Antarctica, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 24(23), 3021–3024, 1997.

Legrand, M. and Pasteur, E. C.: Methane sulfonic acid to non sea-salt sulfate ratio in coastal Antarctic aerosol and surface snow, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103(D9), 10 991-11 006, 1998.

ACPD

8, 2657–2694, 2008

## DMS and MSA in the Antarctic BL

K. A. Read et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion





- Legrand, M., Sciare, J., Jourdain, B., and Genthon, C.: Subdaily variations of atmospheric dimethylsulfide, dimethylsulfoxide, methanesulfonate, and non-sea-salt sulfate aerosols in the atmospheric boundary layer at Dumont d'Urville (coastal Antarctica) during summer, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106, 14 409–14 422, 2001.
- 5 Liss, P. S., Hatton, A. D., Malin, G., Nightingale, P. D., and Turner, S. M.: Marine sulphur emissions, *Philos. T. Roy. Soc. B*, 352, 159–168, 1997.
- Mauldin III, R. L., Eisele, F. L., Tanner, D. J., Kosciuch, E., Shetter, R., Lefer, B., Hall, S. R., Nowak, J. B., Buhr, M., Chen, G., Wang, P., and Davis, D.: Measurements of OH, H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and MSA at the South Pole during ISCAT, *Geophys. Res. Letts.*, 28, 3629–3632, 2001.
- 10 Minikin, A., Legrand, M., Hall, J., Wagenbach, D., Kleefeld, C., Wolff, E., Pasteur, E. C., and Ducroz, F.: Sulfur containing species (sulfate and methanesulfonate) in coastal Antarctic aerosol and precipitation, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103, 10 975–10 990, 1998.
- Plane, J. M. C. and Saiz-Lopez, A.: UV-Visible differential optical absorption spectroscopy (DOAS), in: *Analytical techniques for atmospheric measurement*, edited by: Heard, D. E., Blackwell Publishing, Oxford, 2006.
- 15 Prospero, J. M., Savoie, D. L., Saltzman, E. S., and Larsen, R.: Impact of oceanic sources of biogenic sulphur on sulphate aerosol concentrations at Mawson, Antarctica, *Nature*, 350, 221–223, 1991.
- Rankin, A. M. and Wolff, E. W.: A year-long record of size-segregated aerosol composition at Halley, Antarctica, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108(D24), p. 4775, 2003.
- 20 Read, K. A., Lewis, A. C., Salmon, R. A., Jones, A. E., and Bauguutte, S.: OH and halogen influence on the variability of non-methane hydrocarbons in the Antarctic Boundary Layer, *Tellus B*, 59, 22–38, 2007.
- Saiz-Lopez, A., Plane, J. M. C., and Shillito, J. A.: Bromine oxide in the mid-latitude marine boundary layer, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 31, L03111, doi:10.1029/2003GL018956, 2004.
- 25 Saiz-Lopez, A., Mahajan, A. S., Salmon, R. A., Bauguutte, S. J.-B., Jones, A. E., Roscoe, H. K., Plane, J. M. C.: Boundary Layer halogens in coastal Antarctica, *Science*, 317, 5836, doi:10.1126/science.1141408, 348–351, 2007.
- Sander, S. P., Friedl, R. R., Golden, D. M., Kurylo, M. J., et al.: Chemical kinetics and photo-chemiscal data for use in atmospheric studies, JPL-NASA, 2006.
- 30

## DMS and MSA in the Antarctic BL

K. A. Read et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Savoie, D. L., Prospero, J. M., Larsen, R. J., Huang, F., Izaguirre, M. A., Huang, T., Snowdon, T. H., Custals, L., and Sanderson, C. G.: Nitrogen and sulfur species in Antarctic aerosols at Mawson, Palmer Station and Marsh (King George Island), J. Atmos. Chem., 17(2), 95–122, 1993.

- 5 Staubes, R. and Georgii, H. W.: Biogenic sulfur compounds in seawater and the atmosphere of the Antarctic region, Tellus B, 45, 127–137, 1993.

Toumi, R.: BrO as a sink for dimethylsulphide in the marine atmosphere, Geophys. Res. Lett., 21, 117–120, 1994.

- 10 Von Glasow, R., Sander, R., Bott, A., and Crutzen, P. J.: Modelling halogen chemistry in the marine boundary layer 2, Interactions with sulfur and cloud-covered MBL, J. Geophys. Res., 107, p. 4323, 2002b.

Von Glasow, R. and Crutzen, P. J.: Model study of multiphase DMS oxidation with a focus on halogens, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 4, 589–608, 2004,  
<http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/4/589/2004/>.

- 15 Wagenbach, D., Ducroz, F., Mulvaney, R., Keck, L., Minikin, A., Legrand, M., Hall, J. S., and Wolff, E. W.: Sea-salt aerosol in coastal Antarctic regions. J. Geophys. Res., 103, 10 961–10 974, 1998.

Wolff, E. W., Legrand, M. R., and Wagenbach, D.: Coastal Antarctic aerosol and snowfall chemistry, J. Geophys. Res., 103, 10 927–10 934, 1998.

ACPD

8, 2657–2694, 2008

## DMS and MSA in the Antarctic BL

K. A. Read et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



# DMS and MSA in the Antarctic BL

K. A. Read et al.

**Table 1.** Mean Atmospheric concentrations of  $\text{Na}^+$ ,  $\text{Cl}^-$ ,  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ , and MSA over the 2001 (Rankin and Wolff, 2003) and 2004–2005 sampling periods.

Ion	Mean Concentration $\text{ng m}^{-3}$ (January–December 2001)	Mean Concentration $\text{ng m}^{-3}$ (February 2004–February 2005)
Na+	145	94
Cl-	272	225
$\text{SO}_4^{2-}$	156	99
MSA	84	42

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**Table 2.** The reaction rates of DMS with OH, Cl, BrO, IO, O<sub>3</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub>.

DMS + ...	T=260 K (cm <sup>3</sup> molecule <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	Estimated lifetime (days)
OH	$1.7 \times 10^{-12}$	<sup>a</sup> 22.7
Cl	$3.3 \times 10^{-10}$	<sup>b</sup> 3.5
BrO	$3.94 \times 10^{-14}$	<sup>c</sup> 3.97
IO	$1.3 \times 10^{-14}$	<sup>d</sup> 12.03
O <sub>3</sub>	$<1 \times 10^{-18}$	<sup>e</sup> >31
NO <sub>3</sub>	<sup>f</sup> $1.5\text{--}7 \times 10^{-12}$	<sup>g</sup> –

Kinetic data from Atkinson et al., 2004.

<sup>a</sup> OH assuming a 24 h average of  $3 \times 10^5$  molecule cm<sup>-3</sup> measured during January–February 2005 (Bloss et al., 2007).

<sup>b</sup> Cl maximum concentration of  $10^4$  atom cm<sup>-3</sup> during August (Read et al., 2007).

<sup>c</sup> BrO average concentration of 3 pptV during January–March (Saiz-Lopez et al., 2007).

<sup>d</sup> IO average concentration of 3 pptV during January–March (Saiz-Lopez et al., 2007).

<sup>e</sup> 24 h average ozone 15 ppbv.

<sup>f</sup> Ab initio data from Jee and Tao (2006).

<sup>g</sup> NO<sub>3</sub> < 2 pptv detection limit (Jones et al., 2007).

## DMS and MSA in the Antarctic BL

K. A. Read et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



# DMS and MSA in the Antarctic BL

K. A. Read et al.

**Table 3.** Factor increase in calculated MSA compared to the BrO=0 pptv case whilst assuming constant yields of 0.8 and constant OH=0.012 pptV but changing BrO.

Concentration (pptV)	Factor increase in calculated MSA (Assuming constant yield of $\beta_1$ and $\beta_2=0.8$ and constant OH of 0.012 pptV. The base case is BrO=0 pptV reaction.)
0	1
1	3.68
2	6.36
3	9.02
4	11.7
5	14.4
6	17.1
7	19.8

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**DMS and MSA in the  
Antarctic BL**

K. A. Read et al.

**Table 4.** Factor increase in calculated MSA whilst assuming a constant BrO and OH but changing yields.

Yield ( $\beta_1$ or $\beta_2$ respectively)	Factor increase in calculated MSA (assuming base case of constant BrO=3 pptV, OH=0.012 pptV and $\beta_2=0.8$ )	Factor increase in calculated MSA (assuming base case of constant BrO=3 pptv, OH=0.012 pptV, and $\beta_1=0.8$ )
0.4	0.945	0.583
0.5	0.958	0.694
0.6	0.972	0.805
0.7	0.986	0.917
0.8	1	1
0.9	1.01	1.14
1	1.03	1.25

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

I◀

▶I

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc


Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**DMS and MSA in the  
Antarctic BL**

K. A. Read et al.




**Fig. 1.** Time-series of dimethyl sulphide (DMS), and bromine oxide (BrO) for February 2004–February 2005.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[I◀](#)[▶I](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

**DMS and MSA in the  
Antarctic BL**

K. A. Read et al.




**Fig. 2.** Seasonal variation of the ions. Each point is equal to the total concentration summed over the seven impactor stages. Nss-SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> concentrations are calculated from the Cl<sup>-</sup> and Na<sup>+</sup>. See text for details.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[I◀](#)[▶I](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)




**DMS and MSA in the  
Antarctic BL**

K. A. Read et al.

**Fig. 3.** Distribution of ions between stages.[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[I◀](#)[▶I](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

**DMS and MSA in the  
Antarctic BL**

K. A. Read et al.



**Fig. 4.** Spatial distribution of chlorophyll for winter 2004 above and winter 2005 below from <http://seawifs.gsfc.nasa.gov/> and b. 8-day air mass back trajectories for winter 2004 (left) and winter 2005 (right).

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

I◀

▶I

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc


Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



## DMS and MSA in the Antarctic BL

K. A. Read et al.



**Fig. 5.** Simplified schematic of DMS oxidation chemistry.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

I◀

▶I

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc


Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**DMS and MSA in the  
Antarctic BL**

K. A. Read et al.




**Fig. 6.** MSA: nss-SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> ratios R and R<sub>b</sub> which includes an adjustment to the nss-SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> for a non-DMS contribution of 15 ng m<sup>-3</sup> in winter and 27 ng m<sup>-3</sup> in summer, (see text) calculated from cascade impactor filter measurements during CHABLIS.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[I◀](#)[▶I](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

**DMS and MSA in the  
Antarctic BL**

K. A. Read et al.




**Fig. 7.** Measured MSA and calculated MSA and DMSO concentrations for February–March 2004 (above) and January–February 2005 (below).

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[I◀](#)[▶I](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

**DMS and MSA in the  
Antarctic BL**

K. A. Read et al.




**Fig. 8.** Measured MSA and calculated MSA and DMSO concentrations for February–March 2004 (above) and January–February 2005 (below) including the contribution from BrO reactions.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[I◀](#)[▶I](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

**DMS and MSA in the  
Antarctic BL**

K. A. Read et al.



**Fig. 9.** Rate of production of DMSO from the reaction of DMS with OH and BrO.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[I◀](#)[▶I](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)